arXiv:0807.2052v1 [math.CV] 13 Jul 2008

APPROXIMATION OF SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

I. Chyzhykov

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Lviv National University, Lviv, Ukraine
ichyzh@lviv.farlep.net

Abstract

In certain classes of subharmonic functions u on $\mathbb{C}$ distinguished in terms of lower bounds for the Riesz measure of u, a sharp estimate is obtained for the rate of approximation by functions of the form $\log|f(z)|$, where f is an entire function. The results complement and generalize those recently obtained by Yu. Lyubarskii and Eu. Malinnikova.

1 Introduction

We use the standard notions of the subharmonic function theory [1]. Let us introduce some notation. Let $D_z(t) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta - z| < t\}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $t > 0$. For a subharmonic function u in $\mathbb{C}$ we write $B(r, u) = \max\{u(z) : |z| = r\}$, $r > 0$, and define the order $\rho[u]$ by the equality $\rho[u] = \limsup\limits_{r\to+\infty} \log B(r, u)/\log r$. Let also μ_u denote the Riesz measure associated with the subharmonic function u, m be the plane Lebesgue measure. The symbol C with indices stands for some positive constants. If $u = \log|f|$, where f is an entire function with the zeros $\{a_k\}$, then $\mu_u = \sum\limits_k n_k \delta(z - a_k)$, where n_k is the multiplicity of the zero a_k, $\delta(z-a_k)$ is the Dirac function concentrated at the point a_k. However, the class of functions subharmonic in $\mathbb{C}$ is broader than those of the form $\log|f|$, where f is an entire function. Since it is often easier to construct a subharmonic function rather than an entire one with desired asymptotic properties, a natural problem arises of approximation of subharmonic functions by the logarithms of the moduli of entire functions. Apparently, V. S. Azarin [2] was the first to investigate this problem in the general form in the class of subharmonic in the plane functions and of finite order of growth. The results cited below have numerous applications in the function theory and the potential theory (see, e.g., [3–6]).

In 1985 R. S. Yulmukhametov [7] obtained the following remarkable result. *For each function u subharmonic in $\mathbb{C}$ and of order $\rho \in (0, +\infty)$, and $\alpha > \rho$ there exist an entire function f and a set $E_\alpha \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\big|u(z) - \log|f(z)|\big| \leqslant C_\alpha \log|z|, \quad z \to \infty, \ z \notin E_\alpha, \tag{1.1}$$

and E_α can be covered by a family of disks $D_{z_j}(t_j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying the estimate $\sum_{|z_j|>R} t_j = O(R^{\rho-\alpha})$, $(R \to +\infty)$. In the special case when a subharmonic function u is homogeneous $\log|z|$ in estimate (1.1) can be replaced by $O(1)$ [3, 8]. An integral metric allows us to drop an exceptional set when we approximate subharmonic functions of finite order. Let $\|\cdot\|_q$ be the norm in the space $L^q(0, 2\pi)$,

$$Q(r,u) = \begin{cases} O(\log r), \ r \to +\infty, & \rho[u] < +\infty, \\ O(\log r + \log n(r,u)), \ r \to +\infty, & \\ \qquad\qquad r \notin E, \operatorname{mes} E < +\infty, & \rho[u] = +\infty. \end{cases}$$

A. A. Gol'dberg and M. O. Hirnyk have proved [9] that for an arbitrary subharmonic function u there exists an entire function f such that

$$\big\|u(re^{i\theta}) - \log|f(re^{i\theta})|\big\|_q = Q(r,u), \quad r > 0, \ q > 0.$$

From the recent result of Yu. Lyubarskii and Eu. Malinnikova [10] it follows that integrating of an approximation rate by the plane measure allows us to drop the assumption that a subharmonic function u is of finite order of growth and to obtain sharp estimates.

Theorem A [10]. *Let $u(z)$ be a subharmonic function in $\mathbb{C}$. Then, for each $q > 1/2$, there exist $R_0 > 0$ and an entire function f such that*

$$\frac{1}{\pi R^2} \int\limits_{|z|<R} \big|u(z) - \log|f(z)|\big| dm(z) < q \log R, \quad R > R_0. \tag{1.2}$$

An example constructed in [10] shows that we cannot take $q < 1/2$ in estimate (1.2). In connection with Theorem A the following M. Sodin's question, which is a more precise form of Question 1 from [11, p. 315], is known:

Question. *Given a subharmonic function u in $\mathbb{C}$, do there exist an entire function f and a constant $\alpha \in [0,1)$ such that*

$$\int\limits_{|z|<R} \big|u(z) - \log|f(z)| - \alpha \log|z|\big|\, dm(z) = O(R^2), \quad R > R_0. \tag{1.3}$$

Remark 1. Question 1 from [11, p. 315] corresponds to the case $\alpha = 0$. The mentioned example from [10] implies the negative answer to this question.

On the other hand, the restrictions onto the Riesz measure from below allow us to sharpen estimate (1.2).

Theorem B [10]. *Let $u(z)$ be a subharmonic in $\mathbb{C}$ function. If, for some $R_0 > 0$ and $q > 1$*

$$\mu_u(\{z : R < |z| \leqslant qR\}) > 1, \quad R > R_0, \tag{1.4}$$

then there exists an entire function f satisfying

$$\sup_{R>0} R^{-2} \int\limits_{|z|<R} \big|u(z) - \log|f(z)|\big|\, dm(z) < \infty.$$

In addition, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a set $E_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\limsup_{R\to\infty} m(\{z \in E : |z| < R\}) R^{-2} < \varepsilon$$

and

$$u(z) - \log|f(z)| = O(1), \quad z \notin E_\varepsilon, \ z \to \infty. \tag{1.5}$$

As we can notice, there is a gap between the statements of Theorems A and B. The following question arises: how can one improve the estimate of the left hand side of (1.2), whenever (1.4) fails to hold? The answer to this question is given by Theorem 1.

Let Φ be the class of slowly varying functions $\psi\colon [1,+\infty) \to (1,+\infty)$ (in particular, $\psi(2r) \sim \psi(r)$ for $r \to +\infty$).

Theorem 1. *Let u be a subharmonic in $\mathbb{C}$ function, $\mu = \mu_u$. If for some $\psi \in \Phi$ there exists a constant R_1 satisfying the condition*

$$(\forall R > R_1) : \mu(\{z : R < |z| \leqslant R\psi(R)\}) > 1, \tag{1.6}$$

then there exists an entire function f such that $(R \geqslant R_1)$

$$\int\limits_{|z|<R} \big|u(z) - \log|f(z)|\big|\, dm(z) = O(R^2 \log \psi(R)). \tag{1.7}$$

Corollary 1. *In the conditions of Theorem* 1, *for arbitrary* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exist* $K(\varepsilon) > 0$ *and a set* $E_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{C}$ *such that*

$$\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{m(E \cap \overline{D}_r)}{r^2} < \varepsilon \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$\big|u(z) - \log|f(z)|\big| \leqslant K_\varepsilon \log \psi(|z|), \quad z \notin E_\varepsilon. \tag{1.9}$$

The following example demonstrates that estimate (1.7) is exact in the class of subharmonic functions satisfying (1.6). This is indicated by Theorem 2.

For $\varphi \in \Phi$, let

$$u(z) = u_\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \log\Big|1 - \frac{z}{r_k}\Big|, \tag{1.10}$$

where $r_0 = 2$, $r_{k+1} = r_k \varphi(r_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Thus, μ_u satisfies condition (1.6) with $\psi(x) = \varphi^2(x)$.

Theorem 2. *Let* $\psi \in \Phi$ *be such that* $\psi(r) \to +\infty$ $(r \to +\infty)$. *There exists no entire function* f *for which*

$$\int\limits_{|z|<R} \big|u_\psi(z) - \log|f(z)|\big|\, dm(z) = o(R^2 \log \psi(R)), \quad R \to \infty.$$

It follows from the proved Theorem 2′ that the answer to the formulated above M. Sodin's question is negative. It was M. Hirnyk who pointed out the question as well as the fact that the negative answer follows from the example constructed above.

Theorem 2′. *Let* σ *be an arbitrary positive continuous, defined on* $[1, +\infty)$ *function and* $\sigma(t) \to 0$ $(t \to \infty)$, $\psi(R) = \exp\Big\{\int_1^R \frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\, dt\Big\}$. *There is no entire function* f *and constant* $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ *for which*

$$\int\limits_{|z|<R} \big|u_\psi(z) - \log|f(z)| - \alpha \log|z|\big|\, dm(z) = o\Big(R^2 \log \psi(R)\Big), \quad R \to \infty.$$

Remark 2. The growth of the expression $\int_1^R \frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\,dt$ for $R \to +\infty$ is restricted only by the condition $\int_1^R \frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\,dt = o(\log R)$.

Remark 3. The author does not know whether it is possible to improve estimate (1.8) of the exceptional set for (1.9). In [12] there are obtained the sharp estimates of the exceptional set outside of which relation (1.9) holds, for some class of subharmonic functions satisfying some additional restriction onto the Riesz measure.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

2.1. Partition of measures

It is appeared that in order to have a "good" approximation of a subharmonic function by the logarithm of the modulus of an entire function we need a "good" approximation of the corresponding Riesz measure by a discrete one. The only restriction on Riesz' measure, defined on the Borel sets in the plane, is finiteness on the compact sets. The following theorem on a partition of measures is the principal step in proofs of the theorems cited above.

Theorem C. *Let μ be a measure in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with compact support,* $\operatorname{supp}\mu \subset \Pi$, *and $\mu(\Pi) \in \mathbb{N}$, where Π is a square. Suppose, in addition, that for any line L parallel to either side of the square Π, there is at most one point $p \in L$ such that*

$$0 < \mu(\{p\}) < 1 \text{ and } \mu(L \setminus \{p\}) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

Then there exist a system of rectangles $\Pi_k \subset \Pi$ with sides parallel to the sides of Π, and measures μ_k with the following properties:

1) $\operatorname{supp}\mu_k \subset \Pi_k$;

2) $\mu_k(\Pi_k) = 1$, $\sum_k \mu_k = \mu$;

3) the interiors of the convex hulls of the supports of μ_k are pairwise disjoint;

4) the ratio of length of the sides for rectangles Π_k lies in the interval $[1/3, 3]$;

5) each point of the plane belongs to the interiors of at most 4 rectangles Π_k.

Theorem C was proved by R. S. Yulmukhametov [7, Theorem 1] for absolutely continuous measures (i.e. ν such that $m(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu(E) = 0$). In this case condition (2.1) holds automatically. As it is shown by D. Drasin [4, Theorem 2.1], Yulmukhametov's proof works if we replace the condition of continuity by condition (2.1). We can drop condition (2.1) rotating the initial square [4]. Though it is noted in [10] that Theorem C is valid even without hypothesis (2.1), the author does not know a proof of this fact. Moreover, proving Theorem 2.1 [4] (a variant of Theorem C) condition (2.1) is used essentially. In this connection one should mention a note by A.F. Grishin and S.V. Makarenko [13], where a two dimensional variant of Theorem C under the condition that the measure does not load lines parallel to the coordinate axes.

Remark 4. In the proof of Theorem C [4] rectangles Π_k are obtained by partition of given rectangles, starting from Π, into smaller rectangles in the following way. Length of a smaller side of an initial rectangle coincides with length of a side of obtained rectangle, and length of the other side of obtained rectangle is not less than a third part and not greater than two third parts of length of the other side for the initial rectangle. Thus the following form of Theorem C, which will be used, holds.

Theorem 3. *Let* μ *be a measure in* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *with compact support,* $\operatorname{supp}\mu \subset \Pi$, $\mu(\Pi) \in 2\mathbb{N}$, *where* Π *is a rectangle with the ratio* $b_0/a_0 = l_0 \in [1, +\infty)$ *of length* a_0, b_0, $(a_0 \leqslant b_0)$ *of the sides. If, in addition, condition* (2.1) *holds, then there exist a system of rectangles* $\Pi_k \subset \Pi$ *with sides parallel to the sides of* Π *and measures* μ_k *with the following properties*:

1) $\operatorname{supp}\mu_k \subset \Pi_k$;

2) $\mu_k(\Pi_k) = 2$, $\sum_k \mu_k = \mu$;

3) the interiors of the convex hulls of the supports of μ_k *are pairwise disjoint*;

4) the ratio b_k/a_k *of length* a_k, b_k $(a_k \leqslant b_k)$ *of the sides for the rectangle* Π_k *lies in the interval* $[1, l_0]$, *moreover, if* $l_k > 3$, *then* $a_k = a_0$;

5) each point of the plane belongs to the interiors of at most 4 rectangles Π_k.

As it is noted in [3], the idea of partition into rectangles with mass 2 is due to A. F. Grishin. In order to apply Theorem 3 we need the following lemma (see also Lemma 2.4 [4].)

Lemma 1. *Let ν be a locally finite measure in $\mathbb{C}$. Then in any neighborhood of the origin there exists a point z' with the following properties*:

a) on each line L_α going through z' there is at most one point ζ_α such that $\nu(\{\zeta_\alpha\}) > 0$, moreover $\nu(L_\alpha \setminus \{\zeta_\alpha\}) = 0$;

b) on each circle C_ρ with center z' there exists at most one point ζ_ρ such that $\nu(\{\zeta_\rho\}) > 0$, moreover $\nu(C_\rho \setminus \{\zeta_\rho\}) = 0$.

We give a simple example for illustration. Let $\nu(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \delta(z-n)$. Then $\nu(\mathbb{R}) = +\infty$. We can take any point of the disk $\{z : |z| < 1\}$ with an nonzero imaginary part as z'.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $B_n = \{z : 2^n < |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_0 = \{z : |z| \leqslant 2\}$ and $\nu_n = \nu\big|_{B_n}$. Since ν is a locally finite measure, $\nu_n(\mathbb{C}) = \nu_n(B_n) < +\infty$. There is an at most countable set ζ_{nk} of points such that $\nu_n(\{\zeta_{nk}\}) > 0$. Therefore the set $E_1 = \bigcup_n \bigcup_k \{\zeta_{nk}\}$ is at most countable. Given a pair of points from E_1 we consider the straight line going through these points, and the middle perpendicular to the segment connecting these points. All these lines cover some set $A \subset \mathbb{C}$, $m(A) = 0$. Let $z_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus A$. By our construction, an arbitrary straight line going through the point z_1 contains at most one point with positive mass. The same is true for an arbitrary circle with center z_1. We define $\nu'_n = \nu_n - \sum_{\nu_n(\{\zeta\})>0} \nu_n(\{\zeta\})\delta_\zeta$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$, $\delta_\zeta(z) = \delta(z-\zeta)$. Then, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have $\nu'_n(\{z\}) = 0$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$. Since the intersection of two different circles (straight lines) is empty or a point or two points, and $\nu_n(\mathbb{C}) < +\infty$, by countable additivity of ν_n, there exists at most countable set of circles and straight lines with positive ν_n-measure. The union F_n of all these straight lines and centers of circles has zero area. If now $z' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (A \cup \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} F_n)$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the measure ν_n of any circle with center z' as well as that of a straight line going through z' is equal to zero. Hence, their ν-measure equals zero. Finally, by the countable additivity of the plane measure $m(A \cup \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} F_n) = m(A) + \sum_n m(F_n) = 0$. Thus, any point $z' \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus (A \cup \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} F_n)) \cap U$ where U is a given neighborhood of the origin has required properties. □

Taking into account the proved lemma one may assume that properties a) and b) of Lemma 1 hold for the origin. We follow the scheme of the proof from [10], assuming that $\psi(x) \nearrow +\infty$ $(x \to +\infty)$, because otherwise Theorem 1 is equivalent to Theorem B. Without loss of generality, one may assume that $u(z)$ is harmonic in a neighborhood of $z = 0$. Otherwise, choose arbitrary $a > 0$ such that $n(a) \leqslant N \leqslant n(a + 0)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the measure ν to be equal μ in $D_0(a)$ and to contain the part $\mu\big|_{\{z:|z|=a\}}$ so that $\nu(\overline{D_0(a)}) = N$. Then $\mu - \nu \equiv 0$ in $D_0(a)$ and, instead of u, we consider the function $\tilde{u}(z) = u(z) - \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|z - \zeta|\, d\nu(\zeta)$, and the quantity $\int_{\mathbb{C}} \log|z - \zeta|\, d\nu(\zeta) - N \log|z|$ is bounded whenever $z \to +\infty$. Therefore, without loss of generality we assume that $R_0 = \sup\{r > 0 : \operatorname{supp} \mu \cap D_0(r) = \varnothing\} > 1$. Define $\Psi_1(R) = R\psi(R)$, $\Psi_n(R) = \Psi_1(\Psi_{n-1}(R))$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Psi_0(R) \equiv R$, $R > 1$. We define by induction measures $\mu_k^{(j)}$, $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, and a sequence (R_k), $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\mu_l^{(j)}$ is already defined for $l < k$, and R_l for $l \leqslant k$. Let

$$Q_k = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : R_k \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant \Psi_1(R_k)\}, \quad \mu_k^- = \Big(\mu - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}(\mu_j^{(1)} + \mu_j^{(2)} + \mu_j^{(3)})\Big)\Big|_{Q_k}.$$

If $\mu_k^-(Q_k) < 2$, define $\mu_k^{(1)}(Q_k) \equiv 0$, $\mu_k^{(2)} = \mu_k^-$, $\mu_k^{(3)} \equiv 0$. If $\mu_k^-(Q_k) \geqslant 2$, represent μ_k^- as the sum $\mu_k^{(1)} + \mu_k^{(2)}$, so that $\mu_k^{(1)}(Q_k) = 2[\mu_k^-(Q_k)/2]$, where $[a]$ denotes the integer part of a. If $\mu_k^{(2)}(Q_k) \geqslant 1$, we define $\mu_k^{(3)}(Q_k) = 0$ and $R_{k+1} = \Psi_1(R_k)$. Otherwise, let

$$R_{k+1} = \inf\big\{R > R_k : \mu(\{\Psi_1(R_k) < |z| \leqslant R\}) \geqslant 1\big\},$$

and by $\mu_k^{(3)}$ we mean the sum of the restriction of μ onto $\{\zeta : \Psi_1(R_k) < |\zeta| < R_{k+1}\}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$, where $\tilde{\mu}$ is the part of the restriction $\mu\big|_{\{\zeta:|\zeta|=R_{k+1}\}}$ such that $\mu_k^{(3)}(\mathbb{C}) = 1$. By the construction, we have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

1) $\operatorname{supp} \mu_k^{(1)} \subset Q_k$, $\mu_k^{(1)}(Q_k) \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$;

2) $\Psi_1(R_k) \leqslant R_{k+1} \leqslant \Psi_2(R_k)$;

3) $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_k^{(2)} + \mu_k^{(3)}) \subset \{\zeta : R_k \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant R_{k+1}\}$;

4) $1 \leqslant (\mu_k^{(2)} + \mu_k^{(3)})\big(\{\zeta : R_k \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant R_{k+1}\}\big) \leqslant 2$.

Let $\mu^{(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mu_j^{(1)}$, $\mu^{(2)} = \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}(\mu_j^{(2)} + \mu_j^{(3)})$. From properties 3) and 4) it follows that $\mu^{(2)}(\overline{D_0(R)}) = O(\log R)$ $(R \to +\infty)$, therefore $u_2(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} \log\bigl|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\bigr|\, d\mu^{(2)}(\zeta)$ is a subharmonic function in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $u_1(z) = u(z)-u_2(z)$. Then $\mu_{u_1} = \mu^{(1)}$. We will approximate u_1 and u_2 separately. It suffices to prove that

$$I_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int\limits_{2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}} \bigl|u(z) - \log|f(z)|\bigr|\, dm(z) = O(4^n \log\psi(2^n)), \quad n \to +\infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Indeed, let $R \in [2^n, 2^{n+1})$. Then from (2.2) it follows that

$$\frac{\int\limits_{|z|<R} |u(z) - \log|f(z)||\, dm(z)}{R^2} \leqslant \frac{\sum\limits_{k=0}^{n} \int\limits_{2^k \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{k+1}} |u(z) - \log|f(z)||\, dm(z) + O(1)}{4^n}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{C_1 \sum\limits_{k=0}^{n} 4^k \log\psi(2^k)}{4^n} \leqslant 4C_1 \log\psi(2^n).$$

2.2. Approximation of $u_2(z)$

By the construction, $\mu^{(2)}(\mathbb{C}) = +\infty$. Define $T_n = \sup\{R > 0 : \mu^{(2)}(\overline{D_0(R)}) \leqslant 5n\}$, $A_n = \{\zeta : T_n \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant T_{n+1}\}$. Let (A_n, μ_n) be a partition of the measure $\mu^{(2)}$ such that $\mu^{(2)} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \mu_k$, $\operatorname{supp}\mu_n \subset A_n$, $\mu_n(A_n) = 5$. Define r_n by the equalities $\log r_n = \frac{1}{5}\int_{A_n} \log|\zeta|\, d\mu_n(\zeta)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the formal product

$$f_2(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Bigl(1 - \frac{z}{r_n}\Bigr)^5.$$

From property 4) of the measures $\mu_k^{(j)}$ it follows that

$$\Psi_1(T_n) \leqslant T_{n+1} \leqslant \Psi_6(T_n). \tag{2.3}$$

Since $r_{n+1}/r_{n-1} \geqslant T_{n+1}/T_n \geqslant \psi(T_n) \to +\infty$ $(n \to +\infty)$, the function f_2 is entire. Let

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(z) &\equiv \int\limits_{A_k} \Bigl(\log\Bigl|1 - \frac{z}{\zeta}\Bigr| - \log\Bigl|1 - \frac{z}{r_k}\Bigr|\Bigr)\, d\mu_k(\zeta) \\ &= \int\limits_{A_k} \Bigl(\log\Bigl|1 - \frac{\zeta}{z}\Bigr| - \log\Bigl|1 - \frac{r_k}{z}\Bigr|\Bigr)\, d\mu_k(\zeta). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Here we make use the choice of r_k. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $2^n \in [T_N, T_{N+1})$. Then for $k \geqslant N+2$ and $\zeta \in A_k$ we have $|\zeta| \geqslant T_k \geqslant |z|T_k/T_{N+1}$, $r_k \geqslant |z|T_k/T_{N+1}$, consequently $\big|\log|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}|\big| \leqslant 2|z|/|\zeta|$, $\big|\log|1-\frac{z}{r_k}|\big| \leqslant 2|z|/r_k$. Therefore,

$$\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} \sum_{k=N+2}^{\infty} |d_k(z)|\, dm(z) \leqslant \int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} \sum_{k=N+2}^{\infty} 20\frac{T_{N+1}}{T_k} dm(z)$$

$$\leqslant C_2 \frac{T_{N+1}}{T_{N+2}} 4^n = o(4^n), \quad n \to \infty. \tag{2.5}$$

Similarly, $|d_k(z)| \leqslant 20T_{k+1}2^{-n}$ for $k \leqslant N-2$. Using (2.4), we obtain

$$\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-2} |d_k(z)|\, dm(z) \leqslant o(4^n), \quad n \to \infty. \tag{2.6}$$

Estimate $\int_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} |d_k(z)|\, dm(z)$ for $k \in \{N-1, N, N+1\}$. By the definition,

$$\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} |d_{N+1}(z)|\, dm(z)$$

$$= \int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} \Bigg| \int\limits_{A_{N+1}} \Big(\log\Big|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\Big| - \log\Big|1-\frac{z}{r_{N+1}}\Big|\Big)\, d\mu_{N+1}(\zeta)\Bigg| dm(z).$$

If $|\zeta| \geqslant 2|z|$, $\zeta \in A_{N+1}$, we have $\big|\log|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}|\big| \leqslant \log 2$. Otherwise, $T_{N+1} \leqslant |\zeta| < 2|z| < 2^{n+2} \leqslant 4T_{N+1}$. Therefore, applying Fubini's theorem and changing the variables, $T_{N+1}\eta=\zeta$, $T_{N+1}\xi=z$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}}\ \int\limits_{A_{N+1}}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\Big|\Big|\,d\mu_{N+1}(\zeta)dm(z)\\
&\leqslant 2\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} dm(z)+\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}}\ \int\limits_{T_{N+1}\leqslant|\zeta|\leqslant 4T_{N+1}}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\Big|\Big|\,d\mu_{N+1}(\zeta)dm(z)\\
&\quad=O(4^n)\\
&\qquad+T_{N+1}^2\\
&\qquad\quad\times\int\limits_{1\leqslant|\eta|\leqslant 4}\ \int\limits_{2^n/T_{N+1}\leqslant|\xi|\leqslant 2^{n+1}/T_{N+1}}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{\xi}{\eta}\Big|\Big|\,dm(\xi)\,d\mu_{N+1}(T_{N+1}\eta)\\
&\quad=O(4^n)+O(4^n)\int\limits_{1\leqslant|\eta|\leqslant 4}\ \int\limits_{|\xi|\leqslant 2}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{\xi}{\eta}\Big|\Big|\,dm(\xi)\,d\mu_{N+1}(T_{N+1}\eta). \qquad (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

However, elementary calculations demonstrate that for $1\leqslant\eta\leqslant 4$ we have

$$\int\limits_{|\xi|\leqslant 2}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{\xi}{\eta}\Big|\Big|\,dm(\xi)\leqslant C_3.$$

Taking into account that $\mu_{N+1}(\mathbb{C})=2$, from (2.7) we deduce

$$\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}}\ \int\limits_{A_{N+1}}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\Big|\Big|d\mu_{N+1}(\zeta)\,dm(z)=O(4^n),\quad n\to+\infty. \qquad (2.8)$$

Similarly, for $r_{N+1}\geqslant 2^{n+2}\geqslant 2|z|$ we have $\big|\log|1-z/r_{N+1}|\big|\leqslant\log 2$, otherwise, $T_{N+1}\leqslant r_{N+1}<2^{n+2}$, and consequently ($T_{N+1}\xi=z$),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{z}{r_{N+1}}\Big|\Big|\,dm(z)\\
&\qquad=O(4^n)+O\Bigg(4^n\int\limits_{1/2\leqslant|\xi|\leqslant 1}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{\xi}{\eta}\Big|\Big|\,dm(\xi)\Bigg)=O(4^n),\quad n\to+\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

(2.9)

Now, we are going to estimate the integral of $|d_N(z)|$ over the annulus $\{2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}\}$. For $\varphi(x) \equiv x/\psi(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{A_N} \int\limits_{2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}} \Big|\log\Big|\frac{z-\zeta}{\zeta}\Big|\Big|\, dm(z)\, d\mu_N(\zeta) \\
&\qquad \leqslant \int\limits_{2^{n-1} \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant 2^{n+2}} \Bigg(\int\limits_{D_\zeta(\varphi(|\zeta|))} + \int\limits_{\substack{2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1} \\ z \notin D_\zeta(\varphi(|\zeta|))}} \Bigg) \Big|\log\Big|\frac{z-\zeta}{\zeta}\Big|\Big|\, dm(z)\, d\mu_N(\zeta) \\
&\qquad\quad + \Bigg(\int\limits_{T_N \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant 2^{n-1}} + \int\limits_{2^{n+2} \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant T_{N+1}} \Bigg) \int\limits_{2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}} \Big|\log\Big|\frac{z-\zeta}{\zeta}\Big|\Big|\, dm(z)\, d\mu_N(\zeta) \\
&\qquad\quad \equiv I_{N,1} + I_{N,2} + I_{N,3} + I_{N,4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts and taking into account the relationship $\varphi(x) = o(x)$ $(x \to +\infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{N,1} &= \int\limits_{2^{n-1} \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant 2^{n+2}} \Bigg(2\pi \int\limits_0^{\varphi(|\zeta|)} \log\frac{|\zeta|}{s} s\, ds \Bigg)\, d\mu_N(\zeta) \\
&= 2\pi \int\limits_{2^{n-1} \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant 2^{n+2}} \Bigg(\frac{\varphi^2(|\zeta|)}{2} \log\psi(|\zeta|) + \int\limits_0^{\varphi(|\zeta|)} s\, ds \Bigg) d\mu_N(\zeta) \\
&= (\pi + o(1)) \int\limits_{2^{n-1} \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant 2^{n+2}} \varphi^2(|\zeta|) \log\psi(|\zeta|)\, d\mu_N(\zeta) = o(4^n), \quad n \to +\infty;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{N,2} &\leqslant \int\limits_{2^{n-1} \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant 2^{n+2}} \int\limits_{\substack{2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1} \\ z \notin D_\zeta(\varphi(|\zeta|))}} \log\frac{|\zeta|}{\varphi(|\zeta|)}\, dm(z)\, d\mu_n(\zeta) \\
&\leqslant \log\psi(2^{n+1}) 4^{n+1} \pi \mu_N(\{2^{n-1} \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant 2^{n+2}\}) = O(4^n \log\psi(2^n)), \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad n \to +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

In the expressions for $I_{N,3}$ and $I_{N,4}$ the relationships between ζ and z yield respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \Big|\log\Big|\frac{z-\zeta}{\zeta}\Big|\Big| &\leqslant \log\frac{2^{n+1}+T_N}{T_N} \leqslant \log\Big(1+2\frac{T_{N+1}}{T_N}\Big) \\ &\leqslant \log\psi(T_N)+O(1), \\ \Big|\log\Big|\frac{z-\zeta}{\zeta}\Big|\Big| &\leqslant 2\Big|\frac{z}{\zeta}\Big| \leqslant 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $I_{N,3}+I_{N,4}=O(4^n\log\psi(2^n))$ $(n\to+\infty)$. In a similar way one can obtain the estimate

$$\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} \Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{z}{r_N}\Big|\Big|\,dm(z)=O(4^n\log\psi(2^n)),\quad n\to+\infty.$$

Combining the estimates for $I_{N,j}$, $j\in\{1,\dots,4\}$, and the latter inequality, we obtain

$$\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} |d_N(z)|\,dm(z)=O\big(4^n\log\psi(2^n)\big),\quad n\to+\infty. \tag{2.10}$$

From definition (2.4) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} |d_{N-1}(z)|\,dm(z) \\ &\quad\leqslant \int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}}\int\limits_{A_{N-1}} \Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{\zeta}{z}\Big|-\log\Big|1-\frac{r_{N-1}}{z}\Big|\Big|\,d\mu_{N-1}(\zeta)\,dm(z). \end{aligned}$$

Analogously as the integral of $d_{N+1}(z)$ was estimated, for $|\zeta| \leqslant |z|/2$, $\zeta \in A_{N-1}$ we have $|\log|1 - z/\zeta|| \leqslant \log 2$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}} \int\limits_{A_{N-1}} \left|\log\left|1 - \frac{\zeta}{z}\right|\right| d\mu_{N-1}(\zeta)\, dm(z) \\
&\quad \leqslant \int\limits_{2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}} \int\limits_{2^{n-1} \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant T_N} \left|\log\left|1 - \frac{\zeta}{z}\right|\right| d\mu_{N-1}(\zeta)\, dm(z) + O(4^n) \\
&\quad \leqslant \int\limits_{T_N/2 \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant T_N} \int\limits_{2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}} \left|\log\left|1 - \frac{\zeta}{z}\right|\right| dm(z)\, d\mu_{N-1}(\zeta) + O(4^n) \\
&\quad \leqslant T_N^2 \int\limits_{\frac{1}{2} \leqslant |\eta| \leqslant 1} \int\limits_{2^n/T_N \leqslant |\xi| \leqslant 2^{n+1}/T_N} \left|\log\left|1 - \frac{\eta}{\xi}\right|\right| dm(T_N\xi)\, d\mu_{N-1}(T_N\eta) + O(4^n) \\
&\quad = O(T_N^2) + O(4^n) = O(4^n), \quad n \to +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, from (2.8)–(2.10) and the latter relationship we obtain

$$\int\limits_{2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}} \left|u_2(z) - \log|f_2(z)|\right| dm(z) = O(4^n \log\psi(2^n)), \quad n \to +\infty. \tag{2.11}$$

2.3. Approximation of the function $u_1(z)$.

Let us recall that $Q_k = \{\zeta : R_k \leqslant |\zeta| \leqslant R_k\psi(R_k)\}$, $\operatorname{supp}\mu_k^{(1)} \subset Q_k$, $\mu_k^{(1)}(Q_k) \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+$. Let

$$P_k = \log Q_k = \{s = \sigma + it : \log R_k \leqslant \sigma \leqslant \log R_k + \log\psi(R_k), 0 \leqslant t < 2\pi\}.$$

Denote by l_k the ratio of the larger side of the rectangle P_k to the smaller one. For $k \geqslant k_0$ we have $l_k = \log\psi(R_k)/(2\pi) > 1$. We consider the measure $\mu_k^{(1)}$. If $\mu_k^{(1)}(\{p\}) \geqslant 2$ at some point p, we subtract from this measure the measure $\tilde{\mu}_k^{(1)}$ which is equal to $2[\mu_k^{(1)}(\{p\})/2]$ at every such p. The measure $\tilde{\mu}^{(1)} = \sum_k \tilde{\mu}_k^{(1)}$ is discrete, integer-valued, and finite on the compacta in $\mathbb{C}$. By the Weierstrass theorem, there exists an entire function f_3 with zeros of the corresponding multiplicity on the support of the measure $\tilde{\mu}^{(1)}$, which is the union of at most countable set of isolated points. We have $\mu_{\log|f_3|} = \tilde{\mu}^{(1)}$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the measure $\mu^k = \mu_k^{(1)} - \tilde{\mu}^{(1)}\big|_{Q_k}$ satisfies the condition

$\mu^k(\{p\}) < 2$ at every point $p \in Q_k$. According to the choice of the origin, on the rays emanating from it as well as on the circles centered at the origin, there is at most one point p such that $0 < \mu^k(\{p\}) < 2$, and at the same time the μ^k-measure of the remaining part of either ray or circle equals zero. Under these conditions, the measures ν^k defined by the conditions $d\nu^k(s) = d\mu^k(e^s)$, $s \in P_k$, (i. e. $\nu^k(S) = \mu^k(\exp S)$ for every Borel set S), satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3 with $\Pi = P_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying this theorem, we obtain a system of rectangles P_{km} and measures ν_{km}, $1 \leqslant m \leqslant N_k$, respectively. We have $\nu_{km}(P_{km}) = 2$, every point $s \in \{s : 0 \leqslant |\operatorname{Im} s| \leqslant 2\pi\}$ belongs to the interiors of at most four rectangles P_{km}. Enumerate (P_{km}, ν_{km}) by the natural numbers, in arbitrary way. As the result, we obtain $(P^{(k)}, \nu^{(k)})$ with $\nu^{(k)}(P^{(k)}) = 2$, $\operatorname{supp} \nu^{(k)} \subset P^{(k)}$; then also the rest of properties from the formulation of Theorem 3 holds. Let $\omega_l^{(1)}$, $\omega_l^{(2)}$ be the solutions of the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \omega_l^{(1)} + \omega_l^{(2)} = \displaystyle\int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \omega \, d\nu^{(l)}(\omega), \\ (\omega_l^{(1)})^2 + (\omega_l^{(2)})^2 = \displaystyle\int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \omega^2 d\nu^{(l)}(\omega), \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\omega_l = \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \omega \, d\nu^{(l)}(\omega) \tag{2.13}$$

the center of mass of $P^{(l)}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. From (2.12) we find $\omega_l^{(1)} + \omega_l^{(2)} = 2\omega_l$,

$$\int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \omega^2 d\nu^{(l)}(\omega) = (\omega_l^{(1)})^2 + (2\omega_l - \omega_l^{(1)})^2 = 2(\omega_l^{(1)} - \omega_l)^2 + 2\omega_l^2.$$

Therefore, taking into account (2.13), we obtain ($j \in \{1, 2\}$)

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega_l^{(j)} - \omega_l| &= \Big| \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \omega^2 d\nu^{(l)}(\omega) - \omega_l^2 \Big|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \Big| \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{P^{(l)}} (\omega - \omega_l)^2 d\nu^{(l)}(\omega) \Big|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant \operatorname{diam} P^{(l)} \equiv d_l. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega_l \in P^{(l)}$, we obtain

$$\sup_{\omega\in P^{(l)}} |\omega - \omega_l^{(j)}| \leqslant 2d_l,\ \ j \in \{1,2\}, \qquad \sup_{\omega\in P^{(l)}} |\omega - \omega_l| \leqslant d_l. \tag{2.14}$$

Let $\zeta_l^{(j)} = \exp\{\omega_l^{(j)}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} V(z) &= \sum_l \int\limits_{Q^{(l)}} \Big(\log\Big|1 - \frac{z}{\zeta}\Big| - \frac{1}{2}\log\Big|1 - \frac{z}{\zeta_l^{(1)}}\Big| - \frac{1}{2}\log\Big|1 - \frac{z}{\zeta_l^{(2)}}\Big|\Big)\, d\mu^{(l)}(\zeta) \\ &= \sum_l \int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \Big(\log|1 - ze^{-\omega}| - \frac{1}{2}\log\Big|1 - ze^{-\omega_l^{(1)}}\Big| - \frac{1}{2}\log\Big|1 - ze^{-\omega_l^{(2)}}\Big|\Big)\, d\nu^{(l)}(\omega) \\ &\equiv \sum_l \Delta_l(z). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

In the assumption that series (2.15) absolutely converges, we have to prove that

$$\int\limits_{2^n\leqslant|z|\leqslant 2^{n+1}} |V(z)|\, dm(z) = O(4^n \log\psi(2^n)).$$

Let $\mathcal{L}^+$ be the set of ls for which $Q^{(l)} \subset D_0(2^{n-2})$, and $\mathcal{L}^-$ for which $Q^{(l)} \subset \{z : |z| > 2^{n+1}\}$, $\mathcal{L}^0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus (\mathcal{L}^- \cup \mathcal{L}^+)$. Denote $L(\omega) = \log(1 - ze^{-\omega})$. For $l \in \mathcal{L}^- \cup \mathcal{L}^+$, $\omega \in P^{(l)}$, $2^n \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}$, the function $L(\omega)$ is analytic. We will need the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} L(\omega) - L(\omega_l^{(1)}) &= \int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega} L'(s)\, ds \\ &= L'(\omega_l)(\omega - \omega_l^{(1)}) + \int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega} L''(s)(\omega - s)\, ds \\ &= L'(\omega_l)(\omega - \omega_l^{(1)}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}L''(\omega_l)(\omega - \omega_l^{(1)})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega} L'''(s)(\omega - s)^2\, ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Using the first equality (2.16), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Delta_l(z)| &= \Big|\operatorname{Re}\int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \Big(L(\omega) - L(\omega_l^{(1)}) - \frac{1}{2}(L(\omega_l^{(2)}) - L(\omega_l^{(2)})\Big)\, d\nu^{(l)}(\omega)\Big| \\
&\leqslant \int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \Big|\int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega} \frac{z}{e^s - z} ds\Big|\, d\nu^{(l)}(\omega) + \frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \Big|\int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega_l^{(2)}} \frac{z}{e^s - z} ds\Big|\, d\nu^{(l)}(\omega) \\
&\leqslant |z| \sup_{s\in P^{(l)}} \frac{1}{|e^s - z|}\Big(\int\limits_{P^{(l)}} (|\omega - \omega_l^{(1)}| + \frac{1}{2}|\omega_l^{(1)} - \omega_l^{(2)}|)\, d\nu^{(l)}(\omega)\Big) \\
&\leqslant \frac{3d_l|z|}{\inf_{s\in P^{(l)}} |e^s - z|}. \qquad (2.17)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $l \in \mathcal{L}^-$. The rectangle $P^{(l)}$ is of the form $\{s = \sigma + it : \sigma_l^- \leqslant \sigma \leqslant \sigma_l^+, 0 \leqslant t_l^- \leqslant t \leqslant t_l^+ \leqslant 2\pi\}$, i.e. the lengths of its sides are equal to $\sigma_l^+ - \sigma_l^-$ and $t_l^+ - t_l^-$. Let λ_l be the ratio of the maximal of these numbers to the minimal one ($\lambda_l \geqslant 1$). According to condition 4) of the statement of Theorem 3, for $\lambda_l > 3$ we have $t_l^+ - t_l^- = 2\pi$, $\sigma_l^+ - \sigma_l^- = 2\pi\lambda_l$. First, we estimate $|\Delta_l(z)|$ for which $\lambda_l > 3$. Then $d_l = 2\pi\sqrt{1+\lambda_l^2} \leqslant 2\log\psi(e^{\sigma_l^-})$, because $\log R_k \leqslant \sigma_l^- < \sigma_l^+ \leqslant \log R_k + \log\psi(R_k)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From (2.17) it follows that

$$\inf_{s\in P^{(l)}} |e^s - z| \geqslant \inf_{s\in P^{(l)}} \frac{e^{\operatorname{Re} s}}{2} \geqslant \frac{e^{\sigma_l^-}}{2}, \quad l \in \mathcal{L}^-.$$

But

$$\int\limits_{\sigma_l^-}^{\sigma_l^+} e^{-s}\, ds = e^{-\sigma_l^-}(1 - e^{-\sigma_l^+ + \sigma_l^-}) \geqslant e^{-\sigma_l^-}/2.$$

Therefore

$$|\Delta_l(z)| \leqslant \frac{6d_l|z|}{e^{\sigma_l^-}} \leqslant 24|z| \int\limits_{\sigma_l^-}^{\sigma_l^+} e^{-\sigma} \log\psi(e^{\sigma})\, d\sigma. \qquad (2.18)$$

Since $\psi(x)$ is slowly varying, so is $\log\psi(x)$, and consequently

$$\log\psi(x2^{k+1}) \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)\log\psi(x2^k) \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)^{k+1}\log\psi(x), \quad \varepsilon > 0,\ x \geqslant x_\varepsilon.$$

Applying the obtained estimates and the fact that every point s belongs to the interiors of at most 4 of $P^{(l)}$s, from (2.18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathcal{L}^-\\ \lambda_l>3}} |\Delta_l(z)| &\leqslant 24|z| \int\limits_{\log 2^{n+1}}^{+\infty} e^{-\sigma}\log\psi(e^\sigma)\,d\sigma\\ &\leqslant 24|z|\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\int\limits_{\log 2^{n+1+k}}^{\log 2^{n+2+k}} e^{-\sigma}\log\psi(e^\sigma)\,d\sigma\\ &\leqslant 24|z|\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\frac{\log\psi(2^{n+k+2})}{2^{n+k+2}}\\ &\leqslant 24|z|\log\psi(2^n)\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\frac{(1+\varepsilon)^{k+2}}{2^{n+2+k}} \leqslant 96\frac{\log\psi(2^n)}{1-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

Using the first equation from (2.12), it is not difficult to obtain the following representation for Δ_l:

$$\Delta_l(z) = \int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \left(\log\left|1-\frac{e^\omega}{z}\right| - \frac12\log\left|1-\frac{e^{\omega_l^{(1)}}}{z}\right| - \frac12\log\left|1-\frac{e^{-\omega_l^{(2)}}}{z}\right|\right)d\nu^{(l)}(\omega). \tag{2.20}$$

We use (2.20) for the estimate of Δ_l for $l\in\mathcal{L}^+$ with $\lambda_l>3$. For the analytic function $L_2(\omega)=\log\left(1-\frac{e^\omega}{z}\right)$ and $z\in P^{(l)}$, $l\in\mathcal{L}^+$ relationships (2.16) hold with $L=L_2$. Therefore, similarly to (2.17), we obtain the estimate

$$|\Delta_l(z)| \leqslant \frac{3d_l}{\inf\limits_{s\in P^{(l)}}|1-ze^{-s}|} \leqslant \frac{12e^{\sigma_l^+}d_l}{|z|},\quad l\in\mathcal{L}^+.$$

Whence, using the estimate $d_l\leqslant\log\psi(2^{n-1})$ $(l\in\mathcal{L}^+)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathcal{L}^+\\ \lambda_l>3}} |\Delta_l(z)| &\leqslant C_3\frac{\log\psi(2^{n-1})}{|z|}\sum_{\substack{l\in\mathcal{L}^+\\ \lambda_l>3}}\int\limits_{\sigma_l^-}^{\sigma_l^+} e^\sigma\,d\sigma\\ &\leqslant 4C_3\frac{\log\psi(2^{n-1})}{|z|}\int\limits_{\log R_1}^{\log 2^{n-1}} e^\sigma\,d\sigma \leqslant C_4\log\psi(2^{n-1}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

For $l \in \mathcal{L}^+ \cup \mathcal{L}^-$, it remains to estimate Δ_l for which $1 \leqslant \lambda_l \leqslant 3$. Then $d_l^2 \asymp m(P^{(l)})$, in particular, $d_l \leqslant 6\pi$. In this case, following [10] and using the second equality from (2.16), we obtain

$$\Delta_l(z) = \mathrm{Re} \int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \Bigg((\omega - \omega_l^{(1)}) L'(\omega_l^{(1)}) - \frac{1}{2} L'(\omega_l^{(1)})(\omega_l^{(2)} - \omega_l^{(1)}) + \int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega} L''(s)(\omega - s)\, ds - \frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega_l^{(2)}} L''(s)(\omega_l^{(2)} - s)\, ds \Bigg) d\nu^{(l)}(\omega)$$

$$= \mathrm{Re}\Bigg\{ -z \int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega} \frac{e^s(\omega - s)}{(e^s - z)^2}\, ds\, d\nu^{(l)}(\omega) + \frac{z}{2} \int\limits_{P^{(l)}} \int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega_l^{(2)}} \frac{e^s(\omega_l^{(2)} - s)}{(e^s - z)^2}\, ds\, d\nu^{(l)}(\omega) \Bigg\}.$$

Whence,

$$|\Delta_l(z)| \leqslant 6 d_l^2 |z| \sup_{s \in P^{(l)}} \Big| \frac{e^s}{(e^s - z)^2} \Big|. \tag{2.22}$$

If $l \in \mathcal{L}^+$, we have $|e^s - z| \geqslant |z|/2$ and from (2.22) we obtain

$$|\Delta_l(z)| \leqslant 24 d_l^2 \frac{e^{\sigma_l^+}}{|z|} \leqslant \frac{C_4}{|z|} \iint\limits_{P^{(l)}} e^{\sigma}\, d\sigma\, dt. \tag{2.23}$$

Applying (2.23), we deduce

$$\sum_{\substack{l \in \mathcal{L}^+ \\ \lambda_l \leqslant 3}} |\Delta_l(z)| \leqslant \frac{C_4}{|z|} \sum_{\substack{l \in \mathcal{L}^- \\ \lambda_l \leqslant 3}} \iint\limits_{P^{(l)}} e^{\sigma}\, d\sigma\, dt$$

$$\leqslant \frac{4C_4}{|z|} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} \int\limits_{\log R_1}^{\log 2^{n-2}} e^{\sigma}\, d\sigma\, dt \leqslant \frac{8\pi C_4 2^{n-2}}{|z|} = O(1). \tag{2.24}$$

Now, let $l \in \mathcal{L}^-$, then $|e^s - z| \geqslant e^{\sigma}/2$, and therefore, from (2.22) we obtain

$$|\Delta_l(z)| \leqslant 24 d_l^2 |z| e^{-\sigma_l^-} \leqslant C_5 |z| \iint\limits_{P^{(l)}} e^{-\sigma}\, d\sigma dt,$$

$$\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^-,\lambda_l\leqslant 3} |\Delta_l(z)| \leqslant 8\pi K_5|z| \int\limits_{\log 2^{n+1}}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma}\,d\sigma = 8\pi|z|2^{-n-1} \leqslant C_6.$$

Taking into account (2.19), (2.21), (2.24) and the latter estimate, we obtain

$$\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^+\cup\mathcal{L}^-} |\Delta_l(z)| \leqslant C_7 \log\psi(2^{n+1}). \tag{2.25}$$

Now, let $l \in \mathcal{L}^0$, i. e. $Q^{(l)} \cap G_n \neq \varnothing$, where $G_n = \{z : 2^{n-2} \leqslant |z| \leqslant 2^{n+1}\}$. Among $l \in \mathcal{L}^0$, there are at most 8 such that $\lambda_l > 3$. Indeed, for such l we have $Q^{(l)} = \{z : e^{\sigma_l^-} \leqslant |z| \leqslant e^{\sigma_l^+}\}$ and $\sigma_l^+ - \sigma_l^- > 6\pi$, therefore, $e^{\sigma_l^+} - e^{\sigma_l^-} \geqslant 2^{n-1}(1 - e^{-6\pi})$. Since the interiors of these $Q^{(l)}$ form at most 4-fold cover, for every l, excepting at most 4, we have $e^{\sigma_l^-} \geqslant 2^{n-1}$, and, excepting at most 8, $e^{\sigma_l^-} \geqslant e^{6\pi}2^{n-1} > 2^{n+1}$, i.e. the intersection with G_n is empty.

We denote this exceptional set of indices l by $\mathcal{L}^0_*$. We have to estimate $\int_{G_n} |\Delta_l(z)|\,dm(z)$ for $l \in \mathcal{L}^0_*$. If $|\zeta| \geqslant 3\cdot 2^n$ ($\zeta \in Q^{(l)}$, $z \in G_n$), then $\bigl|\log|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}|\bigr| \leqslant 2|z|/|\zeta| \leqslant 3$. Otherwise, we have $|\zeta| \leqslant 3\cdot 2^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{D_\zeta(2^n)} \left|\log\left|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\right|\right| dm(z)\\
&\qquad\leqslant \int\limits_{D_\zeta(2^n)} \left|\log\left|\frac{z-\zeta}{2^n}\right|\right| + \left|\log\left|\frac{\zeta}{2^n}\right|\right| dm(z)\\
&\qquad\leqslant 2\pi\int\limits_0^{2^n} \log\frac{2^n}{\tau}\tau\,d\tau + \sup_{\zeta\in Q^{(l)}}\left|\log\left|\zeta 2^{-n}\right|\right|\pi 2^{2n}\\
&\qquad\leqslant \pi^2 4^{n-1} + C_8\log\psi(2^n)\cdot 4^n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{G_n}\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^*}\Big|\int\limits_{Q^{(l)}}\log\Big|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\Big|\,d\mu^{(l)}(\zeta)\,\Big|dm(z)\\
&\quad\leqslant\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^*}\Bigg(\int\limits_{Q^{(l)}\cap\{|\zeta|\geqslant 3\cdot 2^n\}}+\int\limits_{Q^{(l)}\cap\{|\zeta|\leqslant 3\cdot 2^n\}}\Bigg)\int\limits_{G_n}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\Big|\Big|\,dm(z)d\mu^{(l)}(\zeta)\\
&\quad\leqslant\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^*}\Bigg(3\cdot 2m(G_n)\\
&\qquad\qquad+\int\limits_{Q^{(l)}\cap\{|\zeta|\leqslant 3\cdot 2^n\}}\Bigg(\int\limits_{D_\zeta(2^n)}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\Big|\Big|\,dm(z)\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad+\int\limits_{G_n\setminus D_\zeta(2^n)}\Big|\log\Big|1-\frac{z}{\zeta}\Big|\Big|\,dm(z)\Bigg)d\mu^{(l)}(\zeta)\Bigg)\\
&\quad\leqslant K_9 4^n+K_9\log\psi(2^n)\cdot 4^n\\
&\qquad+\int\limits_{Q^{(l)}\cap\{|\zeta|\leqslant 3\cdot 2^n\}}\ \int\limits_{G_n\setminus D_\zeta(2^n)}\log\frac{|z|+|\zeta|}{|\zeta|}\,dm(z)\,d\mu^{(l)}(\zeta)\\
&\quad\leqslant K_9 4^n\log\psi(2^n). \qquad (2.27)
\end{aligned}$$

For $l\in\mathcal{L}^0\setminus\mathcal{L}^0_*$ we have $\lambda_l\leqslant 3$, i.e. all the corresponding $P^{(l)}$s are "almost squares"; therefore, we can apply the arguments from [10, e.–g.] For $D_l=\operatorname{diam}Q^{(l)}$, under the condition $\operatorname{dist}\{z,Q^{(l)}\}>4D_l$, using the last equality from (2.16), similarly as (2.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta_l(z)|&=\Big|\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}\int\limits_{P^{(l)}}z\int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega}e^s\frac{z+e^s}{(e^s-z)^3}(\omega-s)^2\,ds\,d\nu^{(l)}(\omega)\\
&\qquad+\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}z\int\limits_{\omega_l^{(1)}}^{\omega_l^{(2)}}e^s\frac{z+e^s}{(e^s-z)^3}(\omega_l^{(2)}-s)^2\,ds\Big|\\
&\leqslant\frac{d_l^3|z|^3}{|\zeta_l^{(1)}-z|^3}\leqslant\frac{D_l^3}{|\zeta_l^{(1)}-z|^3}. \qquad (2.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Whence

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{G_n}\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^0\setminus\mathcal{L}^0_*}|\Delta_l(z)|\,dm(z) &\leqslant \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^0,\lambda_l\leqslant 3}\int\limits_{G_n}|\Delta_l(z)|\,dm(z)\\ &\leqslant \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^0,\lambda_l\leqslant 3}\Bigg(\int\limits_{G_n\cap\{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|>3D_l\}}+\int\limits_{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|\leqslant 3D_l}\Bigg)|\Delta_l(z)|\,dm(z)\\ &\leqslant \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^0,\lambda_l\leqslant 3}\Bigg(\int\limits_{G_n\cap\{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|>3D_l\}}\frac{D_l^3}{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|^3}\,dm(z)\\ &\qquad\qquad +\int\limits_{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|\leqslant 3D_l}|\Delta_l(z)|\,dm(z)\Bigg). \end{aligned}\tag{2.29}$$

For the first sum from (2.29) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^0,\lambda_l\leqslant 3}D_l^3\int\limits_{\{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|>3D_l\}\cap G_n}\frac{1}{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|^3}\,dm(z)\\ \leqslant \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^0,\lambda_l\leqslant 3}D_l^3 2\pi\int\limits_{3D_l}^{2^{n+1}}\frac{1}{t^3}t\,dt\\ \leqslant \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^0,\lambda_l\leqslant 3}2D_l^2\leqslant\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^0,\lambda_l\leqslant 3}m(Q^{(l)}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.30}$$

It remains to estimate $\int_{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|\leqslant 3D_l}|\Delta_l(z)|\,dm(z)$. From the definition of $\Delta(z)$ and the equality $\log|\zeta_l^{(1)}|+\log|\zeta_l^{(2)}|=\int_{Q^{(l)}}\log|\zeta|\,d\mu^{(l)}(\zeta)$ we deduce

$$|\Delta_l(z)|=\int\limits_{Q^{(l)}}\Big(\log\Big|\frac{\zeta-z}{3D_l}\Big|-\frac{1}{2}\log\Big|\frac{\zeta_l^{(1)}-z}{3D_l}\Big|-\frac{1}{2}\log\Big|\frac{\zeta_l^{(2)}-z}{3D_l}\Big|\Big)\,d\mu^{(l)}(\zeta).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|\leqslant 3D_l} |\Delta_l(z)|\,dm(z) \\
&\leqslant \int\limits_{Q^{(l)}} \Bigg(\int\limits_{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|\leqslant 3D_l} \Big|\log\Big|\frac{\zeta-z}{3D_l}\Big|\Big| + \frac{1}{2}\Big|\log\Big|\frac{\zeta_l^{(1)}-z}{3D_l}\Big|\Big| \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\Big|\log\Big|\frac{\zeta_l^{(2)}-z}{3D_l}\Big|\Big|\,dm(z)\Bigg)d\mu^{(l)}(\zeta) \\
&= \int\limits_{Q^{(l)}} \Bigg(\int\limits_{|z-\zeta|\leqslant D_l} + \int\limits_{\substack{|z-\zeta|>D_l \\ |z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|\leqslant 3D_l}} \Bigg)\Big|\log\Big|\frac{\zeta-z}{3D_l}\Big|\Big| dm(z)\,d\mu^{(l)}(\zeta) \\
&\quad + \int\limits_{|z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|<D_l} \log\frac{3D_l}{|\zeta_l^{(1)}-z|}dm(z) \\
&\quad + \Bigg(\int\limits_{|z-\zeta_l^{(2)}|\leqslant 3D_l} + \int\limits_{\substack{|z-\zeta_l^{(2)}|>3D_l \\ |z-\zeta_l^{(1)}|\leqslant 3D_l}} \Bigg)\Big|\log\Big|\frac{\zeta_l^{(2)}-z}{3D_l}\Big|\Big|\,dm(z) \\
&\leqslant \int\limits_{Q^{(l)}} \Bigg(\int\limits_0^{D_l} \log\frac{3D_l}{\tau}\tau\,d\tau + (3D_l)^2\pi\log 3\Bigg)\,d\mu^{(l)}(\zeta) \\
&\quad + 2\int\limits_0^{D_l} \log\frac{3D_l}{\tau}\tau\,d\tau + \pi(3D_l)^2\log 3 \\
&\leqslant 9(3\pi\log 3+1)D_l^2 \leqslant C_{11} m(Q^{(l)}).
\end{aligned}$$

From the latter inequality and (2.29) we obtain

$$\int\limits_{G_n} \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^0\setminus\mathcal{L}^0_*} |\Delta_l(z)|\,dm(z) \leqslant \sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}^0\setminus\mathcal{L}^0_*} K_{11} m(Q^{(l)}) \leqslant K_{12}2^{2n}.$$

Applying the latter inequality and (2.25) completes the proof of Theorem 1. Using Chebyshev's inequality, from (2.2) one can easily obtain Corollary 1.

3 Proof of Theorem 2′

Suppose that σ satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\psi(r)=\exp\bigl\{\int_1^r \frac{\sigma(t)}{t}\,dt\bigr\}$ is unbounded. Obviously, $\psi\in\Phi$. Let u_ψ be defined by formula (1.10) with $\varphi=\psi$, $\psi\in\Phi$. Suppose that there exists an entire function f and a constant $\alpha\in[0,1)$ satisfying the condition

$$\int\limits_{|z|<R}\bigl|u_\psi(z)-\log|f(z)|-\alpha\log|z|\bigr|\,dm(z)$$
$$<\varepsilon R^2\log\psi(R),\quad R\geqslant R_\varepsilon, \tag{3.1}$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$. Without loss of generality, one may assume that $f(0)\neq 0$. Separating from u_ψ the term $\frac{1}{2}\log|1-z/r_1|$, the case $\alpha\in[1/2,1)$ can be reduced to the case $\alpha\in[0,1/2)$. Therefore, we consider the latter one in details. Define the counting Nevanlinna characteristics of the Riesz masses u_ψ and f:

$$N(r,u_\psi)=\int\limits_0^r\frac{n(t,u_\psi)}{t}\,dt,\quad N(r,f)=\int\limits_0^r\frac{n(t,f)}{t}\,dt,$$

where $n(r,f)$ is the number of zeros of f in $\overline{D}_0(r)$. By the Jensen formula [1, Chapter 3.9], we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi}(u_\psi(re^{i\theta})-\log|f(re^{i\theta})|-\alpha\log r)\,d\theta$$
$$=N(r,u_\psi)-N(r,f)-\alpha\log r-\log|f(0)|.$$

If $R \geqslant \widetilde{R}_\varepsilon$ taking into account slow varying of $\log\psi(R)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_R^{2R} \bigl|N(r,u_\psi) - N(r,f) - \alpha\log r - \log|f(0)|\bigr| r\,dr \\
&\qquad \leqslant \int\limits_R^{2R} \frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_0^{2\pi} \bigl|u_\psi(re^{i\theta}) - \log|f(re^{i\theta})| - \alpha\log r\bigr|\; d\theta r\,dr \\
&\qquad \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi}\int\limits_{|z|\leqslant 2R} \bigl|u_\psi(z) - \log|f(z)| - \alpha\log|z|\bigr|\,dm(z) \\
&\qquad < \frac{\varepsilon}{2\pi}(2R)^2\log\psi(2R) < \frac{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}{\pi}R^2\log\psi(R).
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that on $[R,2R]$ there exists r^* such that for $\varepsilon \in (0,1/2)$

$$|N(r^*,u_\psi) - N(r^*,f) - \alpha\log r^*| \leqslant \frac{2\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}{3}\log\psi(r^*) < \varepsilon\log\psi(r^*). \quad (3.2)$$

We are going to derive from (3.2) the relationship

$$|n(r,u_\psi) - n(r,f) - \alpha| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}, \quad r \to +\infty. \quad (3.3)$$

Assume the contrary. If (3.3) fails to hold, then there exists a sequence (τ_k), $\tau_k \to +\infty$ $(k \to +\infty)$, such that either i) $n(\tau_k,u_\psi) - n(\tau_k,f) - \alpha > 1/2$ or ii) $n(\tau_k,f) - n(\tau_k,u_\psi) + \alpha > 1/2$ $(k \to +\infty)$. Consider case i). For arbitrary $t \in [\tilde{\tau}_k,\tau_k]$, where $\tilde{\tau}_k\psi(\tilde{\tau}_k) = \tau_k$, we have $n(t,u_\psi) - n(\tau_k,u_\psi) \geqslant -1/2$, therefore

$$n(t,u_\psi) - n(t,f) - \alpha \geqslant n(\tau_k,u_\psi) - n(\tau_k,f) - \alpha + n(t,u_\psi) - n(\tau_k,u_\psi) > 0.$$

Since $n(t,u_\psi) - n(t,f)$ take the values equal to an integer multiple of $1/2$, we have

$$n(t,u_\psi) - n(t,f) - \alpha \geqslant 1/2 - \alpha > 0, \quad t \in [\tilde{\tau}_k,\tau_k]. \quad (3.4)$$

Choose $t_k \in [\tilde{\tau}_k, 2\tilde{\tau}_k]$, $T_k \in [\tau_k/2,\tau_k]$ so that (3.2) hold for $r^* \in \{t_k,T_k\}$. Then, by the definition of the function $N(r,\cdot)$, applying (3.2) and (3.4), for

$\varepsilon \in (0, 1/4 - \alpha/2)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \log \psi(T_k) \quad &> |N(T_k, u_\psi) - N(T_k, f) - \alpha \log T_k| \\
&\geqslant \int\limits_{t_k}^{T_k} \frac{n(t, u_\psi) - n(t, f) - \alpha}{t} dt - |N(t_k, u_\psi) - N(t_k, f) - \alpha \log t_k| \\
&\geqslant \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha\Big) \log \frac{T_k}{t_k} - \varepsilon \log \psi(t_k) \\
&= \Big(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha - \varepsilon\Big) \log \psi(t_k) > \varepsilon \log \psi(T_k), \quad k \to +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, case i) is impossible. Similarly, in case ii) we have $n(t, f) - n(t, u_\psi) + \alpha > 0$ for $t \in [\tau_k, \tau_k \psi(\tau_k)]$, and consequently $n(t, f) - n(t, u_\psi) + \alpha \geqslant \beta$, where β is a positive constant. Choosing $t_k \in [\tau_k, 2\tau_k]$, $T_k \in [\tau_k \psi(\tau_k)/2, \tau_k \psi(\tau_k)]$ satisfying (3.2) instead of r^*, and $\varepsilon \in (0, \beta/2)$, similarly as before, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|N(T_k, f) - N(T_k, u_\psi) + \alpha \log T_k| \\
&\geqslant \int\limits_{t_k}^{T_k} \frac{n(t, f) - n(t, u_\psi) + \alpha}{t} dt - |N(t_k, f) - N(t_k, u_\psi) + \alpha \log t_k| \\
&\geqslant (\beta - \varepsilon) \log \psi(t_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, case ii) is also impossible. Thus, (3.3) holds.

Let ρ_k be the modulus of the k-th zero of f (the zeros are ordered by non-decreasing of their moduli). Since the jumps of $n(t, f)$ take the natural values and the jumps of $n(t, u_\psi)$ the value $\frac{1}{2}$, relationship (3.3) is possible only in the case when, starting from some $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, between every two immediate jump points $\rho_k \leqslant \rho_{k+1}$ of the function $n(t, f)$, there are points r_m, r_{m+1}, in particular, $\rho_k < \rho_{k+1}$. We first consider the case $\alpha = 0$. If $\rho_k < r_{2k-1}$, then for $r \in (\rho_k, r_{2k-1})$ we have $n(r, f) - n(r, u_\psi) \geqslant k - (2k-2)/2 = 1$. If $\rho_k > r_{2k}$, then $n(r, u_\psi) - n(r, f) \geqslant 1$ for $r \in (\max\{r_{2k}, \rho_{k-1}\}, \rho_k)$. Therefore, $r_{2k-1} \leqslant \rho_k \leqslant r_{2k}$, starting from some $k \geqslant k_1$. Thus,

$$n(t, u_\psi) - n(t, f) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & t \in [r_{2k-1}, \rho_k) \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & t \in [\rho_k, r_{2k}) \end{cases}, \quad k \geqslant k_1.$$

If $\rho_k \in [r_{2k-1}, \sqrt{r_{2k-1}r_{2k}}]$, then choosing $r_k^* \in [\rho_k, 2\rho_k]$, $t_k^* \in [r_{2k}/2, r_{2k}]$, for which (3.2) holds with $r^* \in \{r_k^*, t_k^*\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &N(t_k^*, f) - N(t_k^*, u_\psi) \\ &\quad= \int\limits_{r_k^*}^{t_k^*} \frac{n(s,f) - n(s,u_\psi)}{s}\, ds + N(r_k^*, f) - N(r_k^*, u_\psi) \\ &\quad\geqslant \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{t_k^*}{r_k^*} - \varepsilon \log\psi(r_k^*) \geqslant \Big(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\Big)\log\frac{t_k^*}{\sqrt{r_{2k-1}r_{2k}}} - \varepsilon\log\psi(r_k^*) \\ &\quad\geqslant \Big(\frac{1}{4} - \varepsilon + o(1)\Big)\log\psi(t_k^*), \quad k \to +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to (3.2). In the case $\rho_k \in [\sqrt{r_{2k-1}r_{2k}}, r_{2k}]$ we choose $r_k^* \in [r_{2k-1}, 2r_{2k-1}]$, $t_k^* \in [\rho_k/2, \rho_k]$ satisfying (3.2). Taking into account that $n(t, u_\psi) - n(t, f) = 1/2$ for $t \in [r_k^*, t_k^*]$, we again come to the contradiction with (3.2). Consequently, in the case $\alpha = 0$ the theorem is proved.

Now, let $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$. Relationship (3.3) is possible only if the expression under the modulus takes the values $-\alpha$ and $\frac{1}{2} - \alpha$. Since r_k strictly increases, and the jump of $n(r, u_\psi)$ equals $\frac{1}{2}$ for $r = r_k$ and the jump $n(r, f)$ equals 1 for $r = \rho_k$, we see that $\rho_k = r_{2k}$, $k \geqslant k_2$. Then also

$$n(t, u_\psi) - n(t, f) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [r_{2k}, r_{2k+1}), \\ \frac{1}{2}, & t \in [r_{2k+1}, r_{2k+2}) \end{cases}, \quad k \geqslant k_2. \tag{3.5}$$

Choosing $t_k^* \in [r_{2k-1}, 2r_{2k-1}]$, $r_k^* \in [r_{2k}/2, r_{2k}]$ satisfying (3.2), and taking into account (3.5), as above, we come to a contradiction to (3.2). Therefore, there exists no entire function f with property (3.1) for arbitrary $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$, and consequently, for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Theorem $2'$ is proved.

The proof of Theorem 2 literally repeats that of Theorem $2'$ for $\alpha = 0$, with the distinction that $\psi \in \Phi$ is given by the condition of the theorem. I would like to thank to Professor O. Skaskiv who read the paper and made valuable suggestion as well as another participants of the Lviv inter-university seminar in the theory of analytic functions for valuable comments that allowed to improve the initial version of the paper. I am also indebted to the Institute of Mathematics at the Jagellonian University for their hospitality during my staying in Kraków in April 2002, where a part of this paper was written.

References

[1] Hayman W.K., Kennedy P. *Subharmonic functions, V.1.* Academic press, London etc., 1976.

[2] Azarin V.S. *On rays of completely regular growth of an entire function,* Math. USSR Sb. (1969), no.8, 437–450.

[3] Lyubarskii Yu. I., Sodin M.L. *Analogues of a function of the sine-type fpr convex domaines,* Preprint No 17-86, FTINT AN Ukr.SSR, Khrakov, 1986, 42 pp (in Russian).

[4] Drasin D. *Approximation of subharmonic functions with applications,* Approximation, Complex Analysis and Potential Theory, N.Arakelian and P.M.Gauthier eds. Kluwer Acad.Publ, 2001, 163–189.

[5] Drasin D. *On Nevanlinna's inverse problem,* Complex Variables Theory Appl. **37** (1998), 123–143.

[6] Chyzhykov I. E. *On minimum modulus of an entire function of zero order,* Mat. Studii. **17** (2002), No 1, 41–46.

[7] Yulmukhametov R. S. *Approximation of subharmonic functions,* Anal. Math. **11** (1985), no. 3, 257–282.

[8] Yulmukhametov R. S. *Approximation of homogeneous subharmonic functions,* Math. Sbornik. **134** (1987), no 4, 511–529.

[9] A. Goldberg, M. Hirnyk, *Approximation of subharmonic functions by logarithms of moduli of entire functions in integral metrics*, Israel Math. Conf. Proc. **15** (2001), 117–135..

[10] Lyubarskii Yu., Malinnikova Eu. *On approximation of subharmonic functions,* J. d'Analyse Math. **83** (2001), 121–149.

[11] *Linear and complex analysis problem book. 341 research problems,* Ed. Havin V.P., Nikolski N.K., V.2, Springer-Verlag: Berlin-Heidelberg, 1994.

[12] Chyzhykov I. *Approximation of subharmonic functions of slow growth,* Matem. fiz., analiz, geom. **9** (2002), No 3, 509–520.

[13] Grishin A.F., Makarenko S.V. On a theorem of Yulmukhametov, Math. Notes **67** (2000), no 6, 859–862.